

## Alex Fine's Quomps List

### 1. Non-language Cognition

#### 1.1 Memory

1. Shiffrin, R. M. & Schneider, W. (1977). Controlled and automatic human information processing: II. Perceptual learning, automatic attending, and a general theory. *Psychological Review*, 84, 127-190.
2. Miller, G.A. (1956). The magical number seven plus or minus two: Some limits on your capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review*, 63, 81-96.
3. Tulving, E. (2002). Episodic memory: From mind to brain. *Annual Review of Psychology* 53, 1-25.
4. Raaijmakers, J. G. W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (2002). Models of memory. In Pashler, H., & Medin, D. (eds.) *Stevens Handbook of Psychology 3rd Edition, Vol. 2: Memory and Cognitive Processes* (pp. 43-76).
5. Cowan, N. (2000). The magical number 4 in short-term memory: A reconsideration of mental storage capacity. *BBS* (2001) 24(1), 87-185.
6. Baddeley, A. (2001). Is working memory still working? *American Psychologist*, vol. 56, No. 11, 851-864. (Reprinted in *European Psychologist*, v7, n2, June 2002, pp85-97.)
7. Anderson, J. R. (1991). Is human cognition adaptive? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 14, 471-517.

#### 1.2 Attention

8. Chun, Marvin, and Wolfe, Jeremy M. (2001) Visual attention. *Blackwell handbook of perception*. 272-310.
9. Driver, J. (2001). A selective review of selective attention research from the past century. *British Journal of Psychology*, 92 Part 1, 53-78.
10. S.R.H. Langton, R.J. Watt and V. Bruce. (2000). Do the eyes have it? Cues to the direction of Social Attention. *Trends Cog. Sci.* 4, pp. 50-59.
11. Leslie, A.M. (2000). Theory of mind as a mechanism of selective attention. In M. Gazzaniga (Ed.), *The New Cognitive Neurosciences*, 2nd Edition. (pp. 1235-1247). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
12. Treisman, A., & Gelade, G., 1980. A feature integration theory of attention. *Cognitive Psychology*, 12, 97-136.

#### 1.3 Categories

13. Goldstone, R. L. & Barsalou, L. W. (1998). Reuniting perception and conception. *Cognition*, 65(2-3), 231-262.
14. Murphy, G. & Medin, D.L. (1985). The role of theories in conceptual coherence. *Psychological Review*, 92, 289-316.
15. Rosch, E. & Mervis, C. (1975). Family resemblances: Studies in the internal structure of categories. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 573-605.
16. Kemp, C., Perfors, A. & Tenenbaum, J. (2007.) Learning overhypotheses with hierarchical Bayesian models. *Developmental Science*.

### 2. Psycholinguistics

#### 2.1 Sentence Processing

##### 2.1.1 Comprehension

##### Spoken word recognition

17. Marslen-Wilson, W.D. (1987). Functional parallelism in spoken word-recognition. *Cognition*, 25, 71-102.
18. Gaskell chapter. *Oxford Handbook*.
19. McClelland, J.L., Mirman, D., and Holt, L.L. (2006). Are there interactive processes in speech perception? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(8), 363-369.
20. Bob McMurray et al. (2009). Within-category VOT affects recovery from "lexical" garden paths: Evidence against phoneme-level inhibition. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 60, 65-91.

##### Sentence processing (syntactic processing)

21. Baddeley, A. (2003). Working memory and language: an overview, *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 36(3), 189-208.

22. Bever, T.G. (1970). The cognitive basis for linguistic structures. In R. Hayes (Ed.), *Cognition and language development* (pp. 277-360). New York: Wiley & Sons, Inc
23. Tanenhaus, M.K. & Trueswell, J.C. (1995). Sentence Comprehension. In Eimas & Miller (Eds.) *Handbook in Perception and Cognition, Volume 11: Speech Language and Communication*. Academic Press, pp. 217-262.
24. Frazier, L. (1987). (tutorial on sentence processing) Coltheart A&P
25. MacDonald M., Pearlmutter N. & Seidenberg, M. (1994). The lexical nature of syntactic ambiguity resolution. *Psychological Review*, 101, 676-703.
26. Jurafsky, D. J. (1996). A probabilistic model of lexical and syntactic access and disambiguation. *Cognitive Science*, 20(2), 137-194.
27. Levy, R. 2008. Expectation-based syntactic comprehension. *Cognition* 106(3):1126-1177.
28. Lewis, R. L., & Vasishth, S. (2005). An activation-based model of sentence processing as skilled memory retrieval. *Cognitive Science*, 29, 375-419.
29. Tabor, W. & Hutchins, S. (2004). Evidence for Self-Organized Sentence Processing: Digging In Effects. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 30(2): 431-450.
30. Tanenhaus, M.K. & Brown-Schmidt, S. (2008). Language processing in the natural world. In Moore, B.C.M., Tyler, L.K. & Marslen-Wilson, W.D. (eds.) *The perception of speech: from sound to meaning*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363, 1105-1122.
31. Gibson, E. (2000.) Ch. 5. The Dependency Locality Theory: A Distance-Based Theory of Linguistic Complexity. In Alec Marantz, Yasushi Miyashita, and Wayne O'Neil (eds). *Image, language, brain*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
32. Gordon, Hendrick, and Levine. (2002). Memory-load interference in syntactic processing. *Psychological Science*, 13(5), 425-430.
33. Chater, N., & Manning, C. D. (2006). Probabilistic models of language processing and acquisition, *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(7), 335-344.

### **2.1.2 Production**

#### **Lexical Retrieval**

34. Oxford Handbook. Ch. 28. Meyer & Belke - Word form retrieval in language production
35. Traxler & Gernsbacher. Ch. 2. Griffin & V. Ferreira - Properties of Spoken Language Production
36. Griffin, Z. M. (2003). A reversed word length effect in coordinating the preparation and articulation of words in speaking, *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 10(3), 603-609.
37. Peterson and Savoy. 1998. Lexical selection and phonological encoding during language production: evidence for cascaded processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24 (3), 539-557.
38. Levelt et al. 1999. A theory of lexical access in speech production. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22, 1-75.

#### **Sentence/Word Production**

39. Oxford Handbook. Ch. 27. Ferreira & Slevc - Grammatical encoding
40. Chang et al. 2006. *Psych Science*.
41. Bock. 1986. Syntactic Persistence in Language Production. *Cognitive Psychology*.
42. Ferreira, V. S. (1996). Is it better to give than to donate? syntactic flexibility in language production, *Journal of Memory and Language*, 35(5), 724-755.
43. Ferreira, V. S., & Dell, G. S. (2000). Effect of ambiguity and lexical availability on syntactic and lexical production, *Cognitive Psychology*, 40(4), 296-340.
44. Garrett, M. (1980). Levels of processing in sentence production In Brian Butterworth (Ed.), *Language Production*, 1 (pp. 170-220). London: Academic Press.
45. Kraljic and Brennan. 2005. Prosodic Disambiguation of Syntactic Structure: For the speaker or the addressee? *Cognitive Psychology*, 50 (2).
46. Dell, G. S. (1986). A spreading-activation theory of retrieval in sentence production, *Psychological review*, 93(3), 283-321.
47. Jaeger, T.F. in press. Redundancy and reduction: Speakers manage syntactic information density. *Cognitive Psychology*.

## **3. Alex Specific**

### **3.1 Cue integration**

48. Juslin, P, Karlsson, L & Olsson, H. 2007. Information integration in multiple cue judgment: A division of labor hypothesis. *Cognition* 106:259-298
49. Clayards, M, Tanenhaus, M.K., Aslin, R.N., Jacobs, R.A (2008) Perception of speech reflects optimal use of probabilistic speech cues. *Cognition*, 108(3), 804-809.
50. Ernst, M., & Banks, M. (2002). Humans integrate visual and haptic information in a statistically optimal fashion. *Nature*, 415(6870), 429-433.
51. Kersten, D., Mamassian, P., & Yuille, A. (2004). Object perception as Bayesian inference. *Annual Review Psychology*, 55, 271-304.
52. Knill, D., & Saunders, J. (2003). Do humans optimally integrate stereo and texture information for judgments of surface slant? *Vision Research*, 43(24), 2539-2558.
53. Schrater, P. R. & Kersten, D. (2000). How optimal depth cue integration depends on the task. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 40, 73-91.
54. Toscano and McMurray 2010 (*Cognitive Science*). Cue integration with categories: weighting acoustic cues in speech using unsupervised learning and distributional statistics.

## 3.2 Methods

### 3.3.1 Visual world paradigm

55. Hayhoe, M. & Ballard, D. (2005). Eye movements in natural behavior. *Trends in Cognitive Science*, 9(4), 188-194.
56. Henderson, J. & Ferreira, F. (2004). The interface between language, vision, and action: eye movements and the visual world. New York, NY: Psychology Press. (Just the Henderson and Ferreira chapter; pg. 1-58)
57. Viviani, P. (1990). Eye movements in visual search: Cognitive, perceptual, and motor control aspects. In E. Kowler (Ed.), *Eye movements and their role in visual and cognitive processes* (pp. 353-393). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
58. Tanenhaus et al. 1995. Integration of visual and linguistic information in spoken language comprehension. *Science*, 268, 1632-1634.

### 3.3.2 Reading

59. Rayner, K. (1998) Eye movements in reading and information processing: 20 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 124, 372-422.
60. Rayner, K. The Thirty Fifth Sir Frederick Bartlett Lecture: Eye movements and attention during reading, scene perception, and visual search. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 62, 1457-1506.

## 3.3 Adaptation

### 3.3.1 Syntactic Priming and Adaptation

61. Wells et al. 2009. Experience and relative clause comprehension. *Cognitive Psychology*.
62. Luka, B.J., & Barsalou, L.W. (2005). Structural facilitation: Mere exposure effects for grammatical acceptability as evidence for syntactic priming in comprehension. *Journal of Memory and Language*. 52, 436-459.
63. Amit Dubey, Frank Keller and Patrick Sturt. 2009. A Probabilistic Corpus-Based Model of Parallelism. *Cognition*, 109:3, 326-344.
64. Reitter et al. 2007.
65. Arai, Van Gompel, Scheepers. 2007. Priming ditransitive structures in comprehension. *Cognitive Psychology*, 54 (3), 218-250.
66. Bock and Griffin. 2000. The persistence of structural priming: transient activation or implicit learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. 129 (2): 177-192.
67. Branigan, Pickering, Cleland. 2000. Syntactic Coordination in Dialogue. *Cognition*. 75 (2): 13-25.

68. Pickering, M.J., & Garrod, S. (2004). Toward a mechanistic psychology of dialogue. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 27, 169-225.
69. Coyle and Kaschak. 2008. Patterns of Experience with Verbs affect long-term cumulative structural priming. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*.
70. Snider and Jaeger 2010.

### 3.3.1 Phonetic adaptation

71. Pardo. 2006. On Phonetic Convergence during Conversational Interaction. *JASA*.
72. Pardo, J., & Remez, R. (2006). The perception of speech. In M. Traxler & M.Gernsbacher (Eds.), *Handbook of psycholinguistics* (pp. 201-248). Elsevier. (section 3)
73. Vroomen, J., van Linden, S., de Gelder, B., & Bertelson, P. (2007). Visual recalibration and selective adaptation in auditory-visual speech perception: Contrasting build-up courses. *Neuropsychologia*, 45,572-577.
74. Kraljic, T. & Samuel, A.G. (2007). Perceptual adjustments to multiple speakers. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 56, 1-15
75. Kraljic, Samuel, Brennan. 2008. First impressions and last resorts: How listeners adjust to speaker variability. *Psychological Science*, 194 (4), 332-338.
76. Sjerps and McQueen. 2010. The bounds of flexibility in speech perception. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 36 (1), 195-211.
77. Houde, J. F., & Jordan, M. I. (2002). Sensorimotor adaptation of speech i: compensation and adaptation., *Journal of Speech, Language & Hearing Research*, 45(2), 295. doi: Article.
78. Bradlow and Bent. 2008

### 3.3.2 Adaptation in Vision

79. Wallman and Fuchs 1998. Saccadic gain modification: Visual error drives motor adaptation. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 80 (5), 2405-2416
80. Battaglia, Aslin, Jacobs. 2004. Depth-dependent blur adaptation. *Vision Research*, 44 (2), 113-117. (read august 14)

### 3.3.3 Adaptation in Motor Planning

81. Error correction, sensory prediction, and adaptation in motor control. Reza Shadmehr et al., *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, in press.
82. Adaptive representation of dynamics during learning of a motor task. Shadmehr and Mussa-Ivaldi (1994). *Journal of Neuroscience*, 14, 3208-3224.
83. The dynamics of memory as a consequence of optimal adaptation to a changing body. Kording, Tenenbaum, and Shadmehr (2007), *Nature Neuroscience*, 10, 779-786.
84. Motor Learning. Wolpert and Flanagan (2010). *Current Biology*, 20, R467-472.
85. Mozer, M. C., Kinoshita, S., & Shettel, M. (2007). Sequential dependencies offer insight into cognitive control. In W. Gray (Ed.), *Integrated Models of Cognitive Systems* (pp. 180-193). Oxford University Press.
86. Malhoutra et al. 2009