An Introduction to Linear and Logit Multilevel Models Day 1

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

May 3, 2010

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Overview - Day 1

Lecture 1:

- (Re-)Introducing Ordinary Regression
- Comparison to ANOVA
- Generalized Linear Models
- Generalized Linear Mixed Models (Multilevel Models)
- Trade-offs

Talk(s):

- Efficiency in Production
- Syntax in Flux

Tutorial 1: Contrast Coding (M. Gillespie)

- Implementing specific hypotheses
- Coding types: treatment, effect (sum), Helmert, and polynomial coding
- Interactions: centering

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Overview - Day 2

- Tutorial 2: Interactions, Centering, and more (M. Gillespie)
- Lecture 2:
 - Common Issues and Solutions in Regression Modeling (Mixed or not)
 - outliers
 - collinearity
 - model evaluation
- Tutorial 3: Testing Linguistic Theories with Logistic Regression (P. Graff)
 - Nested and non-nested model comparison: AIC, BIC, etc.
- Tutorial 4: BYOD Group Therapy (M. Gillespie, P. Graff, F. Jaeger)
- Please ask/add to the discussion any time!

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Acknowledgments

- I've incorporated (and modified) a couple of slides prepared by:
 - Victor Kuperman (Stanford)
 - Roger Levy (UCSD)
 - ... with their permission (naturalmente!)
- I am also grateful for feedback from:
 - Austin Frank (Rochester)
 - Previous audiences to similar workshops at CUNY, Haskins, Rochester, Buffalo, UCSD, MIT.
- For more materials, check out:
 - http://www.hlp.rochester.edu/
 - http://wiki.bcs.rochester.edu:2525/HlpLab/StatsCourses
 - http://hlplab.wordpress.com/ (e.g. multinomial mixed models code)

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Goal: model the effects of predictors (independent variables) **X** on a response (dependent variable) *Y*.

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つへで

Goal: model the effects of predictors (independent variables) **X** on a response (dependent variable) *Y*.

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー・ つへぐ

Goal: model the effects of predictors (independent variables) **X** on a response (dependent variable) *Y*.

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー・ つへぐ

Goal: model the effects of predictors (independent variables) **X** on a response (dependent variable) *Y*.

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Assumptions of the generalized linear model (GLM):

- Predictors {X_i} influence Y through the mediation of a linear predictor η;
- η is a linear combination of the $\{X_i\}$:

 $\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_N X_N$ (linear predictor)

• η determines the predicted mean μ of Y

 $\eta = g(\mu)$ (link function)

There is some noise distribution of Y around the predicted mean µ of Y:

$$P(Y = y; \mu)$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Assumptions of the generalized linear model (GLM):

- Predictors {X_i} influence Y through the mediation of a linear predictor η;
- η is a linear combination of the $\{X_i\}$:

 $\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_N X_N$ (linear predictor)

• η determines the predicted mean μ of Y

 $\eta = g(\mu)$ (link function)

There is some noise distribution of Y around the predicted mean µ of Y:

$$P(Y = y; \mu)$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Assumptions of the generalized linear model (GLM):

- Predictors {X_i} influence Y through the mediation of a linear predictor η;
- η is a linear combination of the $\{X_i\}$:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_N X_N$$
 (linear predictor)

 $\blacktriangleright~\eta$ determines the predicted mean μ of Y

 $\eta = g(\mu)$ (link function)

There is some noise distribution of Y around the predicted mean µ of Y:

$$P(Y = y; \mu)$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つ へ ()

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Assumptions of the generalized linear model (GLM):

- Predictors {X_i} influence Y through the mediation of a linear predictor η;
- η is a linear combination of the $\{X_i\}$:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_N X_N$$
 (linear predictor)

• η determines the predicted mean μ of Y

 $\eta = g(\mu)$ (link function)

There is some noise distribution of Y around the predicted mean µ of Y:

$$P(Y = y; \mu)$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Assumptions of the generalized linear model (GLM):

- Predictors {X_i} influence Y through the mediation of a linear predictor η;
- η is a linear combination of the $\{X_i\}$:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_N X_N$$
 (linear predictor)

• η determines the predicted mean μ of Y

 $\eta = g(\mu)$ (link function)

There is some noise distribution of Y around the predicted mean µ of Y:

$$P(Y = y; \mu)$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Linear regression, which underlies ANOVA, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The predicted mean is just the linear predictor:

$$\eta = l(\mu) = \mu$$

Noise is normally (=Gaussian) distributed around 0 with standard deviation σ:

 $\epsilon \sim N(0,\sigma)$

This gives us the traditional linear regression equation.

 $Y = \overbrace{\alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n}^{\text{Predicted Mean } \mu = \eta} + \overbrace{\epsilon}^{\text{Noise} \sim N(0,\sigma)}$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ りゃぐ

Linear regression, which underlies ANOVA, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The predicted mean is just the linear predictor:

$$\eta = l(\mu) = \mu$$

Noise is normally (=Gaussian) distributed around 0 with standard deviation σ:

 $\epsilon \sim N(0,\sigma)$

This gives us the traditional linear regression equation:

 $Y = \overbrace{\alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n}^{\text{Predicted Mean } \mu = \eta} + \overbrace{\epsilon}^{\text{Noise} \sim N(0,\sigma)}$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Linear regression, which underlies ANOVA, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The predicted mean is just the linear predictor:

$$\eta = l(\mu) = \mu$$

Noise is normally (=Gaussian) distributed around 0 with standard deviation σ:

$$\epsilon \sim N(0,\sigma)$$

This gives us the traditional linear regression equation:

 $Y = \overbrace{\alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n}^{\text{Predicted Mean } \mu = \eta} + \overbrace{\epsilon}^{\text{Noise} \sim N(0,\sigma)}$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Linear regression, which underlies ANOVA, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The predicted mean is just the linear predictor:

$$\eta = l(\mu) = \mu$$

Noise is normally (=Gaussian) distributed around 0 with standard deviation σ:

 $\epsilon \sim N(0,\sigma)$

This gives us the traditional linear regression equation:

$$Y = \overbrace{\alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n}^{\text{Predicted Mean } \mu = \eta} + \overbrace{\epsilon}^{\text{Noise} \sim N(0,\sigma)}$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Logistic regression, too, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The linear predictor:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$$

The link function g is the logit transform:

$$E(Y) = p = g^{-1}(\eta) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$g(p) = \ln \frac{p}{1-p} = \eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n \quad (1)$$

The distribution around the mean is taken to be binomial.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Logistic regression, too, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The linear predictor:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$$

The link function g is the logit transform:

$$E(Y) = \rho = g^{-1}(\eta) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$g(\rho) = \ln \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} = \eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n \quad (1)$$

The distribution around the mean is taken to be binomial.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ りゃぐ

Logistic regression, too, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The linear predictor:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$$

The link function g is the logit transform:

$$E(Y) = \rho = g^{-1}(\eta) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$g(\rho) = \ln \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} = \eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n \quad (1)$$

The distribution around the mean is taken to be binomial.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ りゃぐ

Logistic regression, too, is a kind of generalized linear model.

The linear predictor:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$$

The link function g is the logit transform:

$$E(Y) = \rho = g^{-1}(\eta) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$g(\rho) = \ln \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} = \eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n \quad (1)$$

The distribution around the mean is taken to be binomial.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

- Poisson regression
- Beta-binomial model (for low count data, for example)
- Ordered and unordered multinomial regression.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The Linear Model

 Let's start with the Linear Model (linear regression, multiple linear regression)

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model

An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

You are studying word RTs in a lexical-decision task

tpozt Word or non-word? house Word or non-word?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

You are studying word RTs in a lexical-decision task tpozt Word or non-word? house Word or non-word?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

You are studying word RTs in a lexical-decision task

tpozt	Word or non-word?
house	Word or non-word?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Data: Lexical decision RTs

 Data set based on Baayen et al. (2006; available through languageR library in the free statistics program R)



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Memory and Language

Morphological influences on the recognition of monosyllabic monomorphemic words

R.H. Baayen ^{a,*}, L.B. Feldman ^b, R. Schreuder ^c

³ Radboud University Nijnegen and Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, P. O. Box 310, 6500 AH Nijnegen, The Metherlands ³ State University of New York at Albamy, Department of Psychology, 55111 Albamy, NT 1222, USA ⁶ Radboud University Nijnegen, P.O. Box 310, 6500 AH Nijnegen, The Netherlands

Received 15 July 2005; revision received 28 March 2006

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

Data: Lexical decision RTs

- Lexical Decisions from 79 concrete nouns each seen by 21 subjects (1,659 observation).
- Outcome: log lexical decision latency RT
- Inputs:
 - factor (e.g. NativeLanguage: English or Other)
 - continuous predictors (e.g. Frequency).

```
> library(languageR)
> head(lexdec[,c(1,2,5,10,11)])
  Subject
                RT NativeLanguage Frequency FamilySize
       A1 6.340359
                                     4.859812
                                               1.3862944
1
                           English
2
                           English
                                               1.0986123
       A1 6.308098
                                    4.605170
3
       A1 6.349139
                           English
                                    4.997212
                                               0.6931472
4
       A1 6.186209
                           English
                                    4.727388
                                               0.000000
5
       A1 6.025866
                           English
                                    7.667626
                                               3.1354942
6
       A1 6.180017
                           English
                                     4.060443
                                               0.6931472
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

- A simple model: assume that Frequency has a *linear* effect on average (log-transformed) RT, and trial-level noise is *normally distributed*
- ▶ If x_i is Frequency, our simple model is $\boxed{RT_{ii} = \alpha + \beta x_{ii} + \overbrace{\epsilon_{ii}}^{\text{Noise} \sim N(0,\sigma_{\epsilon})}}$

• We need to draw inferences about α , β , and σ

▶ e.g., "Does Frequency affects RT?"→ is β reliably non-zero?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- A simple model: assume that Frequency has a linear effect on average (log-transformed) RT, and trial-level noise is normally distributed
- If x_i is Frequency, our simple model is

$$RT_{ij} = \alpha + \beta x_{ij} + \overbrace{\epsilon_{ij}}^{\mathsf{Noise} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\epsilon})}$$

• We need to draw inferences about lpha, eta, and σ

▶ e.g., "Does Frequency affects RT?" → is β reliably non-zero?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ りゃぐ

- A simple model: assume that Frequency has a *linear* effect on average (log-transformed) RT, and trial-level noise is *normally distributed*
- If x_i is Frequency, our simple model is

 $RT_{ij} = \alpha + \beta x_{ij} + \overbrace{\epsilon_{ij}}^{\mathsf{Noise} \sim \mathsf{N}(0,\sigma_{\epsilon})}$

- \blacktriangleright We need to draw inferences about α , β , and σ
- e.g., "Does Frequency affects RT?" \rightarrow is β reliably non-zero?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

- A simple model: assume that Frequency has a *linear* effect on average (log-transformed) RT, and trial-level noise is *normally distributed*
- ▶ If x_i is Frequency, our simple model is

 $RT_{ij} = \alpha + \beta x_{ij} + \overbrace{\epsilon_{ij}}^{\mathsf{Noise} \sim \mathsf{N}(0,\sigma_{\epsilon})}$

- \blacktriangleright We need to draw inferences about α , β , and σ
- ▶ e.g., "Does Frequency affects RT?"→ is β reliably non-zero?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$RT_{ij} = \alpha + \beta x_{ij} + \overbrace{\epsilon_{ij}}^{\text{Noise} \sim N(0,\sigma_{\epsilon})}$$

$$Here's \text{ a translation of our simple model into R:}$$

$$glm(RT \sim 1 + Frequency, data=lexdec, + family="gaussian")$$

$$[...]$$

$$Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)$$

$$(Intercept) \qquad 6.5887 \qquad 0.022296 \ 295.515 \qquad <2e-16$$

$$Frequency \qquad -0.0428 \qquad 0.004533 \qquad -9.459 \qquad <2e-16$$

$$> sqrt(summary(1)[["dispersion"]])$$

$$[1] \qquad 0.2353127 \qquad \beta$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Noise~
$$N(0,\sigma_{\epsilon})$$

 $RT_{ij} = \alpha + \beta x_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$
• Here's a translation of our simple model into R:
> $glm(RT \sim 1 + Frequency, data=lexdec,$
+ family="gaussian")
[...] α
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 6.5887 0.022296 295.515 <2e-16 ***
Frequency -0.0428 0.004533 -9.459 <2e-16 ***
> $sqrt(summary(1)[["dispersion"]])$
[1] 0.2353127

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー・ つへぐ



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example

Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models
Linear Model with just an intercept

- The intercept is a predictor in the model (usually one we don't care about).
- $\rightarrow\,$ A significant intercept indicates that it is different from zero.

NB: Here, intercept encodes overall mean.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

Visualization of Intercept Model

Predicting Lexical Decision RTs



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Linear Model with one predictor

> l.lexdec1 = lm(RT ~ 1 + Frequency, data=lexdec)

- Classic geometrical interpretation: Finding slope for the predictor that minimized the squared error.
 - **NB:** Never forget the directionality in this statement (the error in predicting the outcome is minimized, not the distance from the line).
 - **NB:** Maximum likelihood (ML) fitting is the more general approach as it extends to other types of Generalized Linear Models. ML is identical to least-squared error for Gaussian errors.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Frequency effect on RT

Predicting Lexical Decision RTs



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Linearity Assumption

- NB: Like AN(C)OVA, the linear model assumes that the outcome is linear in the coefficients (linearity assumption).
 - This does not mean that the outcome and the input variable have to be linearly related (cf. previous page).
 - ► To illustrate this, consider that we can back-transform the log-transformed Frequency (→ transformations may be necessary).



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

Adding further predictors

- FamilySize is the number of words in the morphological family of the target word.
- For now, we are assuming two independent effects.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ りゃぐ

Question

- On the previous slide, is the interpretation of the output clear?
- What is the interpretation of the intercept?
- How much faster is the most frequent word expected to be read compared to the least frequent word?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つ へ ()

Frequency and Morph. Family Size

Predicting Lexical Decision RTs



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Continuous and categorical predictors

```
> l.lexdec1 = lm(RT ~ 1 + Frequency + FamilySize +
+ NativeLanguage, data=lexdec)
```

- Recall that we're describing the output as a linear combination of the predictors.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Categorical predictors need to be coded numerically.
 - The default is dummy/treatment coding for regression (cf. sum/contrast coding for ANOVA).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

Adding Native Language

Number of morph. family members (log-transformed) 0 Response latency (in log-transformed msecs) 00 8.0 0 9 7.5 3.5 2.2 3.0 2.5 6.5 2.0 1.5 6.0 1.0 0.5 5.5 0.0 3 8 2 5 6 Word Frequency (log-transformed)

Predicting Lexical Decision RTs

Native Speakers (red) and Non-Native Speakers (orange)



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model

Linear Model Geometrical Intuitions

Generalized Linear Mixed Model

Linear Mixed Model

Mixed Logit Models

Question

- Remember that a Generalized Linear Model predicts the mean of the outcome as a linear combination.
- In the previous figure, what does 'mean' mean here?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー・ つへぐ

Interactions

- Interactions are products of predictors.
- Significant interactions tell us that the slope of a predictor differs for different values of the other predictor.

```
> 1.lexdec1 = lm(RT ~ 1 + Frequency + FamilySize +
+ NativeLanguage + Frequency:NativeLanguage,
+ data=lexdec)
Residuals:
    Min
                 Median
                                      Max
             10
                               30
-0.66925 -0.14917 -0.02800 0.11626 1.06790
Coefficients:
                      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                      6.441135
                                 0.031140 206.847 < 2e-16
(Intercept)
                     -0.023536
                                 0.007079 -3.325 0.000905
Frequency
FamilvSize
                     -0.015655
                                 0 008839 -1 771 0 076726
NativeLanguageOther
                      0 286343
                                 0.042432 6.748 2.06e-11
Frequency:NatLangOther -0.027472
                                 0.008626 -3.185 0.001475
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 – のへで

Question

On the previous slide, how should we interpret the interaction?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions

Generalized Linear Mixed Model

Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Interaction: Frequency & Native Language



Predicting Lexical Decision RTs

Interaction with Native Speakers (red) and Non–Native Speakers (orange) Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Linear Model vs. ANOVA

- Shared with ANOVA:
 - Linearity assumption (though many types of non-linearity can be investigated)
 - Assumption of normality, but part of a more general framework that extends to other distribution in a conceptually straightforward way.
 - Assumption of independence
 - **NB:** ANOVA is linear model with categorical predictors.
- Differences:
 - Generalized Linear Model
 - Consistent and transparent way of treating continuous and categorical predictors.
 - ▶ Regression encourages a priori explicit coding of hypothesis → reduction of post-hoc tests → decrease of family-wise error rate.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Hypothesis testing in psycholinguistic research

- Typically, we make predictions not just about the existence, but also the *direction* of effects.
- Sometimes, we're also interested in effect shapes (non-linearities, etc.)
- Unlike in ANOVA, regression analyses reliably test hypotheses about effect direction, effect shape, and effect size without requiring post-hoc analyses if (a) the predictors in the model are coded appropriately (cf. M. Gillespie's tutorial later today) and (b) the model can be trusted (cf. tomorrow).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

- How do we choose parameters (model coefficients) β_i and σ?
- We find the *best* ones.
- There are two major approaches (deeply related, yet different) in widespread use:
 - The principle of maximum likelihood: pick parameter values that maximize the probability of your data Y choose {β_i} and σ that make the likel P(Y|{β_i}, σ) as large as possible
 - Bayesian inference: put a probability distribution on the model parameters and update it on the basis of what parameters best explain the data

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

- How do we choose parameters (model coefficients) β_i and σ?
- We find the *best* ones.
- There are two major approaches (deeply related, yet different) in widespread use:
 - The principle of maximum likelihood: pick parameter values that maximize the probability of your data Y

choose $\{\beta_i\}$ and σ that make the likelihood $P(Y|\{\beta_i\}, \sigma)$ as large as possible

Bayesian inference: put a probability distribution on the model parameters and update it on the basis of what parameters best explain the data

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

- How do we choose parameters (model coefficients) β_i and σ?
- We find the *best* ones.
- There are two major approaches (deeply related, yet different) in widespread use:
 - The principle of maximum likelihood: pick parameter values that maximize the probability of your data Y

choose $\{\beta_i\}$ and σ that make the likelihood $P(Y|\{\beta_i\}, \sigma)$ as large as possible

 Bayesian inference: put a probability distribution on the model parameters and update it on the basis of what parameters best explain the data

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

- How do we choose parameters (model coefficients) β_i and σ?
- We find the *best* ones.
- There are two major approaches (deeply related, yet different) in widespread use:
 - The principle of maximum likelihood: pick parameter values that maximize the probability of your data Y

choose $\{\beta_i\}$ and σ that make the likelihood $P(Y|\{\beta_i\}, \sigma)$ as large as possible

 Bayesian inference: put a probability distribution on the model parameters and update it on the basis of what parameters best explain the data

$$P(\{\beta_i\}, \sigma | Y) = \frac{P(Y | \{\beta_i\}, \sigma) \underbrace{P(\{\beta_i\}, \sigma)}_{P(Y)}}{P(Y)}$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

- How do we choose parameters (model coefficients) β_i and σ?
- We find the *best* ones.
- There are two major approaches (deeply related, yet different) in widespread use:
 - The principle of maximum likelihood: pick parameter values that maximize the probability of your data Y

choose $\{\beta_i\}$ and σ that make the likelihood $P(Y|\{\beta_i\}, \sigma)$ as large as possible

 Bayesian inference: put a probability distribution on the model parameters and update it on the basis of what parameters best explain the data

$$P(\{\beta_i\}, \sigma | Y) = \frac{\overbrace{P(Y|\{\beta_i\}, \sigma)}^{\text{Likelihood}} \overbrace{P(\{\beta_i\}, \sigma)}^{\text{Prior}}}{P(Y)}$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Penalization, Regularization, etc.

- Modern moderns are often fit using maximization of likelihood combined with some sort of penalization, a term that 'punished' high model complexity (high values of the coefficients).
- cf. Baayen, Davidson, and Bates (2008) for a nice description.



Figure 2. Contours of the profiled deviance as a function of the relative standard deviations of the item random effects and the subject random effects. The leftmost panel shows the deviance, the function that is minimized at the maximum likelihood estimates, the middle panel shows the component of the deviance that measures model complexity and the rightmost panel shows the component of the deviance that measures fidelity of the fitted values to the observed data.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

< ∃ >

Experiments don't have just one participant.

- Different participants may have different idiosyncratic behavior.
- And items may have idiosyncratic properties, too.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Violations of the assumption of independence!
- **NB:** There may even be more clustered (repeated) properties and clusters may be nested (e.g. subjects ϵ dialects ϵ languages).
 - We'd like to take these into account, and perhaps investigate them.
 - → Generalized Linear Mixed or Multilevel Models (a.k.a. hierarchical, mixed-effects).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model

Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The picture:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Back to our lexical-decision experiment:

- A variety of predictors seem to affect RTs, e.g.:
 - Frequency
 - FamilySize
 - NativeLanguage
 - Interactions

 Additionally, different participants in your study may also have:

- different overall decision speeds
- differing sensitivity to e.g. Frequency.
- You want to draw inferences about all these things at the same time

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Back to our lexical-decision experiment:

- A variety of predictors seem to affect RTs, e.g.:
 - Frequency
 - FamilySize
 - NativeLanguage
 - Interactions
- Additionally, different participants in your study may also have:
 - different overall decision speeds
 - differing sensitivity to e.g. Frequency.
- You want to draw inferences about all these things at the same time

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

Back to our lexical-decision experiment:

- A variety of predictors seem to affect RTs, e.g.:
 - Frequency
 - FamilySize
 - NativeLanguage
 - Interactions
- Additionally, different participants in your study may also have:
 - different overall decision speeds
 - differing sensitivity to e.g. Frequency.
- You want to draw inferences about all these things at the same time

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

 Random effects, starting simple: let each participant i have idiosyncratic differences in reaction times (RTs)

$$RT_{ij} = \alpha + \beta x_{ij} + \overbrace{b_i}^{\sim N(0,\sigma_b)} + \overbrace{\epsilon_{ij}}^{\text{Noise} \sim N(0,\sigma_e)}$$

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
- Idea: Model distribution of subject differences as deviation from grand mean.
- Mixed models approximate deviation by fitting a normal distribution.
- Grand mean reflected in ordinary intercept
 - $\rightarrow\,$ By-subject mean can be set to 0
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Only additional parameter fit from data is variance.

```
> lmer.lexdec0 = lmer(RT ~ 1 + Frequency +
+ (1 | Subject), data=lexdec)
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト・西ト・山田・山田・山口・

Interpretation of the output



Interpretation parallel to ordinary regression models:

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 – のへで

MCMC-sampling

- t-value anti-conservative
- \rightarrow MCMC-sampling of coefficients to obtain non anti-conservative estimates



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Interpretation of the output

- So many new things! What is the output of the linear mixed model?
- estimates of coefficients for fixed and random predictors.
- predictions = fitted values, just as for ordinary regression model.

> cor(fitted(lmer.lexdec0), lexdec\$RT)^2
[1] 0.4357668

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 匡 - のへで

Interpretation of the output

- So many new things! What is the output of the linear mixed model?
- estimates of coefficients for fixed and random predictors.
- predictions = fitted values, just as for ordinary regression model.

> cor(fitted(lmer.lexdec0), lexdec\$RT)^2
[1] 0.4357668

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Mixed models vs. ANOVA

- Mixed models inherit all advantages from Generalized Linear Models.
- Unlike the ordinary linear model, the linear mixed model now acknowledges that there are slower and faster subjects.
- ► This is done without wasting k 1 degrees of freedom on k subjects. We only need one parameter!
- ► Unlike with ANOVA, we can actually look at the random differences (→ individual differences).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

- Let's look at the by-subject adjustments to the intercept. These are called Best Unbiased Linear Predictors (BLUPs)
 - BLUPs are *not* fitted parameters. Only one degree of freedom was added to the model. The BLUPs are estimated posteriori based on the fitted model.

 $P(b_i | \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}_b, \hat{\sigma}_\epsilon, \mathbf{X})$

The BLUPs are the conditional modes of the b_is—the choices that maximize the above probability

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

- Let's look at the by-subject adjustments to the intercept. These are called Best Unbiased Linear Predictors (BLUPs)
 - BLUPs are *not* fitted parameters. Only one degree of freedom was added to the model. The BLUPs are estimated posteriori based on the fitted model.

 $P(b_i | \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}_b, \hat{\sigma}_\epsilon, \mathbf{X})$

- ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・今日・

The BLUPs are the conditional modes of the b_is—the choices that maximize the above probability

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

NB: By-subjects adjustments are assumed to be centered around zero, but they don't necessarily do so (here: -2.3E-12).

```
head (ranef (lexdec.lmer0))

$Subject

(Intercept)

A1 -0.082668694

A2 -0.137236138

A3 0.009609997

C -0.064365560

D 0.022963863

...
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・ りゃぐ

Observed and fitted values of by-subject means.

> p = exp(as.vector(unlist(coef(lmer.lexdec0)\$Subject)))
> text(p, as.character(unique(lexdec\$Subject)), col = "red")
> legend(x=2, y=850, legend=c("Predicted", "Observed"),

+ col=c("blue", "red"), pch=1)



Subject as random effect

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ うへの

- Unlike with ANOVA, the linear mixed model can accommodate more than one random intercept, if we think this is necessary/adequate.
- These are *crossed* random effects.

```
> lexdec.lmer1 = lmer(RT ~ 1 + (1 | Subject) + (1 | Word),
+ data = lexdec)
                                                                         Comparison to ANOVA
> ranef(lmer.lexdec1)
                                                                         Generalized Linear
                                                                         Mixed Model
ŚWord
               (Intercept)
almond
              0.0164795993
                                                                         Linear Mixed
                                                                         Model
ant.
             -0.0245297186
                                                                         Getting an Intuition
apple
             -0.0494242968
apricot
             -0.0410707531
                                                                        Mixed Logit
$Subject
                                                                        Models
     (Intercept)
                                                                         Summarv
A1 -0.082668694
A2 -0.137236138
A3 0.009609997
                                        ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ○□ のQ@
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model

 Shrinkage becomes even more visible for fitted by-word means



Word as random effect

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Mixed models with random slopes

- Not only the intercept, but any of the slopes (of the predictors) may differ between individuals.
- For example, subjects may show different sensitivity to Frequency:

```
> lmer.lexdec2 = lmer(RT ~ 1 + Frequency +
+ (1 | Subject) + (0 + Frequency | Subject) +
+ (1 | Word),
+ data=lexdec)
Random effects:
Groups
          Name
                      Variance
                                 St.d. Dev.
      (Intercept) 0.00295937
                                 0.054400
Word
 Subject Frequency
                      0.00018681
                                 0.013668
                                0.186804
 Subject (Intercept)
                      0.03489572
 Residual
                      0.02937016 0.171377
Number of obs: 1659, groups: Word, 79; Subject, 21
Fixed effects:
             Estimate Std. Error t value
                                  132.22
(Intercept)
             6.588778
                        0.049830
Frequency
            -0.042872
                        0.006546
                                 -6.55
                                  イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

э.

Mixed models with random slopes

 The BLUPs of the random slope reflect the by-subject adjustments to the overall Frequency effect.

> :	ranef(lmer.lexde	e <i>c2)</i>	
\$W0	ord		
alı ant	(Inte mond 0.0164 t -0.0245	ercept) 1795993 5297186	
\$Subject			
	(Intercept)	Frequency	
A1	-0.1130825633	0.0020016500	
A 2	-0.2375062644	0.0158978707	
A 3	-0.0052393295	0.0034830009	
С	-0.1320599587	0.0143830430	
D	0.0011335764	0.0038101993	
I	-0.1416446479	0.0029889156	

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Mixed model vs. ANOVA

- A mixed model with random slopes for all its predictors (incl. random intercept) is comparable in structure to an ANOVA
- Unlike ANOVA, random effects can be fit for several grouping variables in one single model.
 - \rightarrow More power (e.g. Baayen 2004; Dixon, 2008).
- No nesting assumptions *need* to be made (for examples of nesting in mixed models, see Barr, 2008 and his blog). As in the examples, so far, random effects can be crossed.
- Assumptions about variance-covariance matrix can be tested
 - No need to rely on assumptions (e.g. sphericity).
 - Can test whether specific random effect is needed (model comparison).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Random Intercept, Slope, and Covariance

- Random effects (e.g. intercepts and slopes) may be correlated.
 - By default, R fits these covariances, introducing additional degrees of freedom (parameters).
 - Note the simpler syntax.

```
> lmer.lexdec2 = lmer(RT ~ 1 + Frequency +
+ (1 + Frequency | Subject) +
+ (1 | Word),
+ data=lexdec)
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Random Intercept, Slope, and Covariance

Random effects:				
Groups	Name	Variance	Std.Dev.	Corr
Word	(Intercept)	0.00296905	0.054489	
Subject	(Intercept)	0.05647247	0.237639	
	Frequency	0.00040981	0.020244	-0.918
Residual		0.02916697	0.170783	
Number of	obs: 1659, g	groups: Word	1, 79; Sul	oject, 21
Fixed effects:				
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	
(Intercept	c) 6.588778	0.059252	111.20	
Frequency	-0.042872	0.007312	-5.86	

What do such covariance parameters mean?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー・ つへぐ

Covariance of random effects: An example



Random Effect Correlation

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへの

Plotting Random Effects: Example

Plotting random effects sorted by magnitude of first BLUP (here: intercept) and with posterior variance-covariance of random effects conditional on the estimates of the model parameters and on the data.

> dotplot(ranef(lmer.lexdec3, postVar=TRUE))



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 匡 - のへで

Plotting Random Effects: Example

Plotted without forcing scales to be identical:

- > dotplot(ranef(lmer.lexdec3, postVar=TRUE),
- + scales = list(x =
- + list(relation = 'free')))[["Subject"]]



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Plotting Random Effects: Example

Plotting observed against theoretical quantiles:



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Is the Random Slope Justified?

- One great feature of Mixed Models is that we can assess whether a certain random effect structure is actually warranted given the data.
- Just as nested ordinary regression models can be compared (cf. stepwise regression), we can compare models with nested random effect structures.
- ▶ Here, model comparison shows that the covariance parameter of lmer.lexdec3 significantly improves the model compared to lmer.lexdec2 with both the random intercept and slope for subjects, but no covariance parameter (\(\chi^2(1) = 21.6, p < 0.0001)\).</p>
- The random slope overall is also justified (χ²(2) = 24.1, p < 0.0001).</p>
- $\rightarrow\,$ Despite the strong correlation, the two random effects for subjects are needed (given the fixed effect predictors in the model).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Interactions

```
> lmer.lexdec4b = lmer(RT ~ 1 + NativeLanguage * (
+ Frequency + FamilySize + SynsetCount +
+ Class) +
+ (1 + Frequency | Subject) + (1 | Word),
+ data=lexdec)
[...]
Fixed effects:
                           Estimate Std Error t value
(Intercept)
                           6 385090
                                     0 030425 209 86
cNativeEnglish
                          -0.155821 0.060533
                                             -2.57
cFrequency
                          -0.035180
                                     0.008388 -4.19
cFamilvSize
                                               -1 59
                          -0.019757
                                     0.012401
cSynsetCount
                          -0.030484
                                     0.021046
                                               -1.45
cPlant
                          -0.050907
                                     0.015609
                                               -3.26
cNativeEnglish:cFrequency
                           0 032893
                                     0.011764
                                               2 80
cNativeEnglish:cFamilySize
                           0.018424
                                     0.015459
                                               1 1 9
cNativeEnglish:cSynsetCount -0.022869
                                     0.026235
                                               -0.87
cNativeEnglish:cPlant
                           0 082219
                                     0 019457
                                               4 23
```

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Interactions

> p.lmer.lexdec4b = pvals.fnc(lmer.lexdec4b, nsim=10000, withMCMC=T)

> p.lmer.lexdec\$fixed

	Estimate	MCMCmean	HPD95lower	HPD95upper	pMCMC	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	6.4867	6.4860	6.3839	6.5848	0.0001	0.0000
NativeLanguageOther	0.3314	0.3312	0.1990	0.4615	0.0001	0.0000
Frequency	-0.0211	-0.0210	-0.0377	-0.0048	0.0142	0.0156
FamilySize	-0.0119	-0.0120	-0.0386	0.0143	0.3708	0.3997
SynsetCount	-0.0403	-0.0401	-0.0852	0.0050	0.0882	0.0920
Classplant	-0.0157	-0.0155	-0.0484	0.0181	0.3624	0.3767
NatLang:Frequency	-0.0329	-0.0329	-0.0515	-0.0136	0.0010	0.0006
NatLang:FamilySize	-0.0184	-0.0184	-0.0496	0.0109	0.2416	0.2366
NatLang:SynsetCount	0.0229	0.0230	-0.0297	0.0734	0.3810	0.3866
NatLang:Classplant	-0.0822	-0.0825	-0.1232	-0.0453	0.0001	0.0000

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Visualizing an Interactions



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

MCMC



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー のくの

Mixed Logit Model

So, what do we need to change if we want to investigate, e.g. a binary (categorical) outcome?

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Recall that ...

logistic regression is a kind of generalized linear model.

The linear predictor:

 $\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$

▶ The link function g is the logit transform:

$$E(Y) = p = g^{-1}(\eta) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$g(p) = \ln \frac{p}{1-p} = \eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n \quad (2$$

The distribution around the mean is taken to be binomial.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ 日 ・ うへつ

Recall that ...

logistic regression is a kind of generalized linear model.

The linear predictor:

$$\eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$$

The link function g is the logit transform:

$$E(Y) = \rho = g^{-1}(\eta) \Leftrightarrow$$
$$g(\rho) = \ln \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} = \eta = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_n X_n \quad (2)$$

The distribution around the mean is taken to be binomial.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Mixed Logit Models

- Mixed Logit Models are a type of Generalized Linear Mixed Model.
- More generally, one advantage of the mixed model approach is its flexibility. Everything we learned about mixed *linear* models extends to other types of distributions within the exponential family (binomial, multinomial, poisson, beta-binomial, ...)
- **Caveat** There are some implementational details (depending on your stats program, too) that may differ.

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

An example

- The same model as above, but now we predict whether participants' answer to the lexical decision task was correct.
- Outcome: Correct vs. incorrect answer (binomial outcome)
- Predictors: same as above

```
> lmer.lexdec.answer4 = lmer(Correct == "correct" ~ 1 +
+ NativeLanguage * (
+ Frequency + FamilySize + SynsetCount +
+ Class) +
+ (1 + Frequency | Subject) + (1 | Word),
+ data=lexdec, family="binomial")
```

NB: The only difference is the outcome variable and the family (assumed noise distribution) now is binomial (we didn't specify it before because "gaussian" is the default).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Mixed Logit Output

cNativeEnglish:cFamilySize

cNativeEnglish:cPlant

cNativeEnglish:cSynsetCount

[]			
AIC BIC logLik deviance			
495 570.8 -233.5 467			
Random effects:			
Groups Name Variance Sto	.Dev. Corr		
Word (Intercept) 0.78368 0.8	8526		
Subject (Intercept) 2.92886 1.7	1139		
Frequency 0.11244 0.3	3532 -0.884		
Number of obs: 1659, groups: Word	, 79; Subject, 21		
Fixed effects:			
Estin	ate Std. Error z value Pr(> z)		
(Intercept) 4.3	612 0.3022 14.433 < 2e-16 ***		
cNativeEnglish 0.2	828 0.5698 0.496 0.61960		
cFrequency 0.6	925 0.2417 2.865 0.00417 **		
cFamilySize -0.2	250 0.3713 -0.606 0.54457		
cSynsetCount 0.8	152 0.6598 1.235 0.21665		
cPlant 0.8	441 0.4778 1.767 0.07729.		
cNativeEnglish:cFrequency 0.2	803 0.3840 0.730 0.46546		

-0.2746

-2.6063

1.0615

0.5997

1.1772

0.7561

-0.458

-2.214

1.404

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

0.64710

0.16035

0.02683 *

Interaction in logit space



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Interaction in probability space



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition

Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Why not ANOVA?

- ANOVA over proportion has several problems (cf. Jaeger, 2008 for a summary)
 - Hard to interpret output
 - Violated assumption of homogeneity of variances



Fig. 1. Variance of sample proportion depending on p (for n = 1).

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 – のへで

Why not ANOVA?

These problems can be address via transformations, weighted regression, etc., But why should we do this is if there is an adequate approach that does not need fudging and has more power?



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 – のへで
Summary

- There are a lot of issues, we have not covered today (by far most of these are not particular to mixed models, but apply equally to ANOVA).
- The mixed model approach has many advantages:
 - Power (especially on unbalanced data)
 - No assumption of homogeneity of variances
 - Random effect structure can be explored, understood
 - Extendability to a variety of distributional families
 - Conceptual transparency
 - Effect direction, shape, size can be easily understood and investigated.
 - ightarrow You end up getting another perspective on your data

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

Summary

- There are a lot of issues, we have not covered today (by far most of these are not particular to mixed models, but apply equally to ANOVA).
- The mixed model approach has many advantages:
 - Power (especially on unbalanced data)
 - No assumption of homogeneity of variances
 - Random effect structure can be explored, understood.
 - Extendability to a variety of distributional families
 - Conceptual transparency
 - Effect direction, shape, size can be easily understood and investigated.
 - ightarrow You end up getting another perspective on your data

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

Summary

- There are a lot of issues, we have not covered today (by far most of these are not particular to mixed models, but apply equally to ANOVA).
- The mixed model approach has many advantages:
 - Power (especially on unbalanced data)
 - No assumption of homogeneity of variances
 - Random effect structure can be explored, understood.
 - Extendability to a variety of distributional families
 - Conceptual transparency
 - Effect direction, shape, size can be easily understood and investigated.
 - $\rightarrow\,$ You end up getting another perspective on your data

Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

Modeling schema



Generalized Linear Mixed Models

Florian Jaeger

M. Gillespie & P. Graff

Generalized Linear Model Graphical Model View Theory

Linear Model An Example Geometrical Intuitions Comparison to ANOVA

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Graphical Model View

Linear Mixed Model

Getting an Intuition Understanding More Complex Models

Mixed Logit Models

Summary

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・